

Moduli spaces of representations of tame finite-dimensional algebras

Ryan Kinser

University of Iowa

Banff International Research Station
September 29, 2022

Push the button/Appuyez sur le bouton!

Tame algebras 1

- \mathbb{k} : algebraically closed field of characteristic 0
- A : finite dimensional associative \mathbb{k} -algebra ...
(Up to Morita equivalence, $A \simeq \mathbb{k}Q/I$ for quiver Q and admissible ideal I)
- **Definition.** A is tame if, for each dimension d :
 - there exists a finite number of A - $\mathbb{k}[X]$ -bimodules M_i which are free of rank d as right $\mathbb{k}[X]$ -modules,
 - such that every indecomposable A -module of dimension d is isomorphic to $M_i \otimes_{\mathbb{k}[X]} \mathbb{k}[X]/(X - \lambda)$ for some i and some $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$.
- Informally: each bimodule M_i determines a “1-parameter family” of A -modules (*example coming*)

Tame algebras 2

Example: Kronecker quiver

$$Q: \bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet$$

Indecomposables :

$$k^n \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{[I_n]} \\ \xrightarrow{[0]} \\ \xrightarrow{[I_n]} \end{array} k^{n+1}$$

$$k^{n+1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{[I_n \ 0]} \\ \xrightarrow{[0 \ I_n]} \end{array} k^n$$

$$k^n \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{I_n} \\ \xrightarrow{J_n(\lambda)} \end{array} k^n \quad (\lambda \in k), \quad k^n \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{J_n(0)} \\ \xrightarrow{I_n} \end{array} k^n$$

$$k[x]^n \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{I_n} \\ \xrightarrow{J_n(x)} \end{array} k[x]^n \quad \text{evaluated at } x = \lambda.$$

Tame algebras 3

- For algebras of global dimension 1, the tame algebras are exactly $A \simeq \mathbb{k}Q$ where Q is an affine Dynkin quiver.
- For higher global dimension, no classification of tame algebras.
Special cases:
 - 1 vertex/simple module: Ringel, 1974
 - 2 vertices/simples: Hoshino and Miyachi, 1988
- There are a few robust general results about tame algebras, for example Crawley-Boevey 1988, 1991.
- ★ Most methods to prove an algebra is tame do **not** produce an explicit description of its indecomposable representations!

Moduli Spaces of Representations 1 (Overview)

If we want to geometrically organize representations of tame algebras, there is “too much freedom” in the choice of 1-parameter families above.

General idea: Apply Geometric Invariant Theory (GIT) to construct projective varieties that “naturally” parametrize isomorphism classes of representations (A.D. King, 1994)

Today's Goal: Understand these for tame algebras, particularly the “1-parameter families”

Moduli Spaces of Representations 2 (Stability)

- A weight on Q is a tuple of integers indexed by the vertices of Q :

$$\theta \in \mathbb{Z}^{\{\text{vertices of } Q\}}.$$

A weight induces a function $\theta: \text{rep}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, where $\theta(V) = \theta \cdot \underline{\dim} V$.

- V is θ -semistable if $\theta(W) \leq \theta(V) = 0$ for all $W \leq V$
- V is θ -stable if it is θ -semistable and $\theta(W) \neq 0$ for all $0 < W < V$

Lemma. The full subcategory $\text{rep}_\theta^{\text{ss}}(A)$ of θ -semistable representations is abelian and closed under extensions.

Its simple objects are precisely the θ -stable representations.

Informal slogan: The weight θ picks out an abelian subcategory of $\text{rep}(A)$ with a richer collection of simples

Moduli Spaces of Representations 3 (Technical)

(Some details for the AG folks, not necessary for rest of talk.)

Given $A = \mathbb{k}Q/I$ and \dim vector \underline{d} , there is an affine variety $\text{rep}_A(\underline{d})$ parametrizing reps of A of \dim vector \underline{d} in a fixed basis.

The natural base change group $GL(\underline{d})$ acts on $\text{rep}_A(\underline{d})$ by “conjugation”.

For $Z \subseteq \text{rep}_A(\underline{d})$ irreducible and $GL(\underline{d})$ -invariant closed subvariety, let

$$SI(Z)_\theta := \{f \in \mathbb{k}[Z] \mid g \cdot f = \theta(g)f, \forall g \in GL(\underline{d})\}$$

$$\text{and then } \mathcal{M}_\theta^{ss}(Z) := \text{Proj} \left(\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} SI(Z)_{n\theta} \right),$$

called moduli space of θ -semistable reps in Z .

The points of $\mathcal{M}_\theta^{ss}(A, \underline{d}) := \mathcal{M}_\theta^{ss}(\text{rep}_A(\underline{d}))$ are in bijection with isoclasses of direct sums of θ -stable reps of A (i.e. semi-simple objects of $\text{rep}_\theta^{ss}(A)$ of \dim . vect. \underline{d}).

Moduli Spaces of Representations 4 (Kronecker example)

Example: Kronecker quiver

$$Q: \bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet$$

For $\underline{d} = (d_1, d_2)$
let $\theta = (d_2, -d_1)$

$$\mathbb{K}^n \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{[I_n]} \\ \xrightarrow{[0]} \\ \xrightarrow{[I_n]} \end{array} \mathbb{K}^{n+1}, \quad \mathbb{K}^{n+1} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{[I_n \ 0]} \\ \xrightarrow{[0 \ I_n]} \end{array} \mathbb{K}^n$$

Each $M_{\theta}^{\text{ss}}(Q, \underline{d})$ is single point

$$\mathbb{K}^n \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{I_n} \\ \xrightarrow{J_n(\lambda)} \end{array} \mathbb{K}^n \quad (\lambda \in \mathbb{K}), \quad \mathbb{K}^n \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{J_n(0)} \\ \xrightarrow{I_n} \end{array} \mathbb{K}^n$$

Can compute $M_{\theta}^{\text{ss}}(Q, (1,1)) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$
and in general $M_{\theta}^{\text{ss}}(Q, (n,n)) \cong \mathbb{P}^n$.

Some history of moduli of reps of tame algebras

The works cited below build evidence for the following conjecture:

Conjecture. Let A be a tame algebra. Then any irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}_\theta^{ss}(A, \underline{d})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{e_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{e_r}$ for some $e_i \geq 0$.

The conjecture has been proven for:

- algebras of global dimension 1 (Domokos-Lenzing '02)
- tilted algebras (Chindris '13)
- quasi-tilted algebras (Bobiński '14)
- acyclic gentle algebras (Carroll-Chindris '15)

The techniques are often representation-theoretical, using homological algebra, Schofield semi-invariants, and Auslander-Reiten theory to understand how the spaces of semi-invariants $SI(Z)_{n\theta}$ grow with n .

Some recent advances 1 (A key tool)

The Moduli Decomposition Theorem (joint with Calin Chindris, 2018).
(This works for arbitrary finite dimensional algebras, not just tame.)

Very informally, the 3 parts of this theorem describe how $\mathcal{M}_\theta^{ss}(Z)$ is determined by moduli spaces associated to the θ -Jordan-Holder factors of representations in Z ...*up to a finite, birational morphism.*

In the special case of tame algebras it implies:

★ if we can show Z_θ^{ss} is a normal variety whenever $\mathcal{M}_\theta^{ss}(Z)$ is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{M}_\theta^{ss}(A, \underline{d})$, then the Conjecture holds for the algebra A .

Some recent advances 2 (Specific results)

Theorem. (*joint work with Carroll, Chindris, Weyman, 2020*)

The Conjecture is true for *special biserial algebras*.

Informally, all indecomposable representations of a special biserial algebra $A = \mathbb{k}Q/I$ can be obtained by “pushing forward” representations of Dynkin types \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ onto Q while “avoiding” I .

Theorem. (*Cody Gilbert, arXiv:2208.00336, August 2022*)

The Conjecture is true for *clannish algebras*.

Clannish algebras are a generalization of special biserial where \mathbb{A} and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}}$ are replaced with \mathbb{D} and $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$. The class includes skewed-gentle algebras.

In contrast with earlier results, these proofs are almost entirely geometric, relying on a lucky/magical connection with affine Schubert varieties.

Ideas for more progress on Conjecture

Next we need to get a foothold above specific classes of algebras, but not necessarily at the level of full generality. Some ideas of things to look at are:

- For arbitrary tame A , restrict to irreducible components $Z \subseteq \text{rep}_A(\underline{d})$ such that a general representation has projective dimension 1 and trivial endomorphism ring. For such \underline{d} , there is a canonical weight $\theta = \langle \underline{d}, \cdot \rangle_A$ where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A$ is the Euler form of A .
 \Rightarrow Prove $\mathcal{M}_\theta^{\text{ss}}(Z) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ in this case. (*This is an idea of Chindris.*)
- Approach general case via covering theory of algebras (P. Gabriel, E. Green, early 1980s).
 - (a) Prove the conjecture for strongly simply connected tame algebras, where more tools are available, for example good behavior of the Tits quadratic form (Brüstle-de la Peña-Skowroński, 2010).
 - (b) Separately, study general behavior of moduli spaces with respect to covering functors.