

Is  $d(4_1^2, 0_1^2) = 1$ ?

Is it possible to change  $4_1^2$  to  $0_1^2$  by changing only one crossing?

Does  $N(U + 1) = 4_1^2, N(U + -1) = 0_1^2$  have a solution.

Is it possible for topoisomerase to change  $4_1^2$  to  $0_1^2$  by acting exactly once?

To determine answer, check how crossing change affects a link invariant.

Example: Linking number of a 2 component oriented link

Let  $L$  be a two component link with components  $J$  and  $K$ . Then  $Lk(L) = lk(J, K) = \frac{1}{2}\sum sign(c)$  where

$$\text{sign}(c) = +1 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{sign}(c) = -1$$

Example:

Thm:  $Lk$  is a link invariant of two component oriented links.

Pf: Check Reidemeister moves:

**R1:**

No change in linking number since only count signed crossings between different components. Thus R1 moves do not change linking number of a link.

**R2:**

*case 1:* R2 move involves only one component.

No change in linking number since only count signed crossings between different components.

*case 2:* R2 move involves both components.

Linking number changes by  $+1 - 1 = 0$ .

Other subcases where the R2 move involves both components are similar. Thus R2 moves do not change linking number of a link.

## R3

Note sign of crossing  $i = \text{sign of crossing } i'$ .

crossing  $i$  involves same components as crossing  $i'$ .

Thus R3 moves do not change linking number of a link.

---

Side question: What are the oriented Reidemeister moves?

Note, we won't answer this question unless you need it.

## How does a crossing change affect the linking number of an oriented 2-component link

Suppose  $d(L, L') = 1$ . Let  $c =$  the crossing in  $L$  which is changed to convert  $L$  into  $L'$ . After performing the crossing change to obtain  $L'$ , call this crossing  $c'$ .

Case 1:  $c$  involves only one component of the  $L$ . Then  $c'$  involves only one component of the  $L'$ .

In this case, we don't count  $c$  (or  $c'$ ) when calculating linking number, so  $Lk(L) = Lk(L')$

Case 2:  $c$  involves both components of the  $L$ . Then  $c'$  involves both components of the  $L'$ .

Case 2a:  $sign(c) = +1$ . Then  $sign(c') = -1$ . Hence  $Lk(L') = Lk(L) - 1$

Case 2b:  $sign(c) = -1$ . Then  $sign(c') = +1$ . Hence  $Lk(L') = Lk(L) + 1$

Thus  $|Lk(L) - Lk(L')| \leq 1$ .

Since  $|Lk(4_1^2) - Lk(0_1^2)| = 2$ ,  $d(4_1^2, 0_1^2) > 1$ .

## Project: Knot/Link Invariant Table

Definition(s): Let  $L$  be a two component link with components  $J$  and  $K$ . Then  $Lk(L) = lk(J, K) = \frac{1}{2}\Sigma sign(c)$  where

$$\text{sign}(c) = +1 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{sign}(c) = -1$$

What are its properties?

$$Lk(L^*) =$$

$$Lk(-L) =$$

$$Lk(-L^*) =$$

$$Lk(L\#L') =$$

If  $d(L, L') = 1$ , then  $|Lk(L) - Lk(L')| \leq 1$ .

Other distances?

What software computes linking number? What are the commands for calculating this invariant?

How fast is it to compute this invariant?

How good of an invariant is it?

$$\text{Ex: } Lk(0_1^2) = 0 = Lk(5_1^2)$$

Livingston, C. and Cha, J.C., KnotInfo,  
<http://www.indiana.edu/~knotinfo/>

Bar-Natan, D. and Morrison, S, The Knot Atlas,  
[http://katlas.math.toronto.edu/wiki/Main\\_Page](http://katlas.math.toronto.edu/wiki/Main_Page)

Jablan, S. and Sazdanovi, R. (2007) LinKnot. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ.  
[http://katlas.math.toronto.edu/wiki/Using\\_the\\_LinKnot\\_packa](http://katlas.math.toronto.edu/wiki/Using_the_LinKnot_packa)

[www.knotplot.com](http://www.knotplot.com)

Nakagawa, Y. (2003) An introduction of knotscape. Proceedings of the Winter Workshop of Topology/Workshop of Topology and Computer (Sendai, 2002/Nara, 2001), 9, 89–94. <https://ir.library.tohoku.ac.jp/re/bitstream/10097/1721>