# Sampling in de Branges Spaces of Entire Functions

# Eric Weber

with Sa'ud al-Sa'di

Iowa State University

University of Iowa Workshop in Noncommutative Analysis June 4-5, 2016  $PW_{\pi}$  consists of f which are:

- entire;
- square integrable

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(t)|^{2}dt<\infty;$$

**(**) exponential type  $\pi$ , i.e. for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

$$|f(z)| \leq C_{\epsilon} e^{(\pi+\epsilon)|z|}.$$

# Theorem (Paley-Wiener, ~1930)

If  $f \in \mathcal{PW}_{\pi}$ , then there exists a  $g \in L^2[-1/2,1/2]$  such that

$$f(z) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} g(t) e^{-2\pi i t z} dt.$$

Colloquially,

$$PW_{\pi} = L^2[-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}].$$

# Theorem (Whitaker 1929, Shannon 1949, Kotelnikov 1933)

If  $f \in PW_{\pi}$ , then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) \frac{\sin(\pi(x-n))}{\pi(x-n)}.$$

The convergence takes place both uniformly as well as in the mean.

Note:

$$f(n) = \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} g(t) e^{-2\pi i n t} dt$$
$$= \langle g(t), e^{2\pi i n t} \rangle$$
$$= \left\langle f(x), \frac{\sin(\pi(x-n))}{\pi(x-n)} \right\rangle$$

.

#### Definition

A sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\subset\mathbb{R}$  is a sampling sequence for  $PW_{\pi}$  if there exist A, B > 0 such that for all  $f \in PW_{\pi}$ ,

$$A||f||^2 \le \sum_n |f(\lambda_n)|^2 \le B||f||^2.$$

Question: which sequences are sampling sequences?

Reconstruction: if  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sampling sequence, then there exists  $\{h_n\} \subset PW_{\pi}$  such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{n} f(\lambda_{n}) h_{n}(x).$$

#### Definition

A sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\subset\mathbb{R}$  is an interpolating sequence for  $PW_{\pi}$  if for every  $(c_n)\in\ell^2(\mathbb{Z})$  there exists an  $f\in PW_{\pi}$  such that  $f(\lambda_n)=c_n$ , and  $\|f\|\simeq\|(c_n)\|$ .

Duffin and Schaeffer, A Class of Non-Harmonic Fourier Series, 1952

## Definition

For a Hilbert space H, a sequence  $\{v_n\} \subset H$  is a frame if there exist A, B > 0 such that for all  $v \in H$ ,

$$A\|v\|^2 \leq \sum_n |\langle v, v_n \rangle|^2 \leq B\|v\|^2.$$

For  $PW_{\pi}$ ,  $\{\lambda_n\}_n$  is a sampling sequence if and only if

$$\left\{\frac{\sin(\pi(x-\lambda_n))}{\pi(x-\lambda_n)}\right\}_n$$

is a frame.

# Definition

For a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the lower and upper Beurling density are given by:

$$D_{-}(\{\lambda_{n}\}) = \liminf_{r \to \infty} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\#(\{\lambda_{n}\} \cap (x - r, x + r))}{2r},$$
$$D_{+}(\{\lambda_{n}\}) = \limsup_{r \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\#(\{\lambda_{n}\} \cap (x - r, x + r))}{2r}.$$

## Theorem (Landau, 1967)

- If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sampling sequence for  $PW_{\pi}$ , then  $1 \le D_-(\{\lambda_n\}) \le D_+(\{\lambda_n\}) < \infty$ .
- ② If 1 < D<sub>−</sub>({ $\lambda_n$ }) ≤ D<sub>+</sub>({ $\lambda_n$ }) < ∞, then { $\lambda_n$ } is a sampling sequence for  $PW_{\pi}$ .
- **3** If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is an interpolating sequence for  $PW_{\pi}$ , then  $D_+(\{\lambda_n\}) \leq 1$ .
- If  $D_+({\lambda_n}) < 1$ , then  ${\lambda_n}$  is an interpolating sequence for  $PW_{\pi}$ .

- Interpolating sequences can also be characterized by the Carleson criterion.
- Complete interpolating sequences are characterized by the Hruschév-Nikolskii-Pavlov theorem.

## Theorem (Landau, 1967)

- If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sampling sequence for  $PW_{\pi}$ , then  $1 \le D_-(\{\lambda_n\}) \le D_+(\{\lambda_n\}) < \infty$ .
- If  $1 < D_{-}(\{\lambda_n\}) \le D_{+}(\{\lambda_n\}) < \infty$ , then  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sampling sequence for  $PW_{\pi}$ .
- **③** If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is an interpolating sequence for  $PW_{\pi}$ , then  $D_+(\{\lambda_n\}) \leq 1$ .
- If  $D_+(\{\lambda_n\}) < 1$ , then  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is an interpolating sequence for  $PW_{\pi}$ .
  - Interpolating sequences can also be characterized by the Carleson criterion.
  - Complete interpolating sequences are characterized by the Hruschév-Nikolskii-Pavlov theorem.

# Theorem (Ortega-Cerdá and Seip 2002)

A sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sampling sequence for  $PW_{\pi}$  if and only if there exist entire functions E, F such that

- for all  $z \in UHP$ ,  $|E(\overline{z})| < |E(z)|$  and  $|F(\overline{z})| < |F(z)|$ ;
- **3**  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is the zero sequence of  $EF + E^*F^*$ .

- E and F are Hermite-Biehler class,  $\mathcal{HB}$ ;
- $\mathfrak{G}$   $\mathcal{H}(E)$  is the de Branges space generated by E;

For  $E \in \mathcal{HB}$ , define

$$\mathcal{K}_{E}(w,z) = rac{\overline{E(w)}E(z) - E(\overline{w})E^{*}(z)}{2\pi i(\overline{w}-z)}.$$

This is a positive matrix (Moore-Aronszajn) and so generates a RKHS:  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ .

 $\mathcal{H}(E)$  consists of all entire functions f that satisfy:

$$\|f\|_E^2:=\int_{\mathbb{R}}\frac{|f(t)|^2}{|E(t)|^2}dt<\infty,$$

**2** for all  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

 $|f(z)| \leq K_E(z,z) \|f\|_E.$ 

Example:  $E(z) = e^{-i\pi z}$ :

$$K_E(w,z) = \frac{\sin \pi (z - \bar{w})}{\pi (z - \bar{w})}$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{H}(e^{-i\pi z}) = PW_{\pi}$ , both as sets, and as Hilbert spaces.

For *E*, we define  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$|E(x)| = e^{i\varphi(x)}E(x).$$

The function  $\varphi$  is  $C^1$ , unique up to additive constant, and

$$\varphi'(x) = \frac{\pi K(x, x)}{|E(x)|^2} \ge 0$$

so is increasing. Example: for  $E(z) = e^{-i\pi z}$ ,  $\varphi(x) = \pi x$ . Sequences that satisfy the condition

$$\varphi(\lambda_n) = \pi n + \alpha$$

for some  $\alpha$  correspond to zeros of  $K_E$ .

Example:  $E(z) = e^{-i\pi z}$ , then  $\{\lambda_n\} = \{n + \alpha\}$  for some  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

These are the zeros of the translations of the sinc function, and also correspond to the frequencies of orthogonal exponentials on [-1/2, 1/2].

Under suitable conditions, for  $f \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ ,  $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(\gamma_n) \frac{K(\gamma_n, z)}{\|K(\gamma_n, \cdot)\|_F^2}$ .

#### Theorem (de Branges, 1960)

Let  $\mathcal{H}(E)$  be a de Branges space with phase function  $\varphi(x)$ , and let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a sequence of real numbers, such that  $\varphi(\gamma_n) = \alpha + \pi n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then the functions  $\{K(\gamma_n, z)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  form an orthogonal set in  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ . If, in addition,  $e^{i\alpha}E(z) - e^{-i\alpha}E^*(z) \notin \mathcal{H}(E)$ , then  $\{\frac{K(\gamma_n, z)}{\|K(\gamma_n, z)\|}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ . Moreover, for every  $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}(E)$ ,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(\gamma_n) \frac{K(\gamma_n, z)}{\|K(\gamma_n, .)\|_E^2}.$$
(1)

# Homogeneous Approximation Property

- Ramanathan-Steger (1994)
- Gröchenig-Razafinjatovo (1998)
- Heil-Kutyniok (2002)

# Theorem (al-Sa'di and W)

Let  $\mathcal{H}(E)$  be a de Branges space such that the phase function of E(z) satisfies  $0 < \delta \leq \varphi'(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a separated sequence such that  $\{k_{\mu_n}(z)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a frame in  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ . Then given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $R = R(\epsilon) > 0$  such that for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  and all r > 0

$$\sup_{|x-y|\leq r} \left\| k_x(.) - Q_{y,r+R} k_x(.) \right\| < \epsilon,$$
(2)

where  $k_x(z) = \frac{K(x,z)}{\|K(x,.)\|}$ , and the supremum is taken over  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

 $Q_{y,r+R}$  is the projection onto the span of  $\{k_{\mu_n}: |\mu_n - y| \le r + R\}$ .

#### Theorem (al-Sa'di and W)

Let  $\mathcal{H}(E)$  be a de Branges space, and the corresponding phase function of E satisfies  $0 < \delta \leq \varphi'(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{M} = \{\mu_n\}, \Gamma = \{\gamma_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  are two separated sequences, such that  $\{k_{\mu_n}(z)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a frame in  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ , and  $\{k_{\gamma_n}(z)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz basis for a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ . Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $R = R(\epsilon) > 0$ , such that for all r > 0 and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$(1-\epsilon)$$
  $\sharp (\Gamma \cap [y-r,y+r)) \leq \sharp (\mathcal{M} \cap [y-r-R,y+r+R)).$ 

Therefore,

$$D^{-}(\Gamma) \leq D^{-}(\mathcal{M}), \text{ and } D^{+}(\Gamma) \leq D^{+}(\mathcal{M})$$

#### Theorem

Let  $E \in \mathcal{HB}$ , with phase function satisfying  $0 < \delta \leq \varphi'(x)$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\mathcal{M} = \{\mu_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a uniformly separated sampling sequence in  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ , then  $D^-(\mathcal{M}) \geq \frac{\delta}{\pi}$ .

#### Theorem

Let  $E \in \mathcal{HB}$ , with phase function satisfying  $0 < \delta \leq \varphi'(x) \leq M < \infty$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $\Gamma = \{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a uniformly separated interpolating sequence in  $\mathcal{H}(E)$ , then  $D^+(\Gamma) \leq \frac{M}{\pi}$ .

We recover the Landau inequalities on  $PW_{\pi}$ .

In general, density criteria are not valid in de Branges spaces (Lyubarskii and Seip, 2002).

# Theorem (al-Sa'di and W; Baranov)

Let  $E_0 \in \mathcal{HB}$ . If  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a separated sampling sequence for  $\mathcal{H}(E_o)$ , then there exists two functions E, F such that

- **(3)**  $\{\lambda_n\}$  constitutes the zero sequence of  $EF + E^*F^*$ .

Note: still only necessary condition.

# Theorem (Naimark $\sim$ 1930)

Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be regular, positive, B(H)-valued measure on  $\Omega$ . Then there exists a Hilbert space K, a bounded linear operator  $V : H \to K$ , and a regular, self-adjoint, spectral, (i.e. PVM) B(K)-valued measure  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $\Omega$  such that for all measurable sets S

$$\mathcal{E}(S) = V^* \mathcal{F}(S) V.$$

#### Theorem (Han and Larson 2000)

If  $\{v_n\} \subset H$  is a frame, then there exists a Hilbert space K and a frame  $\{w_n\} \subset K$  such that  $\{v_n \oplus w_n\} \subset H \oplus K$  is a Riesz basis.

The converse was observed in Aldroubi (1994).

#### We define $\mathcal{I} : \mathcal{H}(E) \to \mathcal{H}(EF) : f \mapsto fF$ ; $\mathcal{I}$ is a linear isometry.

#### Lemma

The mapping  $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{H}(F) \to \mathcal{H}(EF)$  defined by  $g \mapsto gE^*$  is a linear isometry. Consequently, for every  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{H}(F)$ ,

$$\langle g_1 E^*, g_2 E^* \rangle_{EF} = \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle_F. \tag{3}$$

#### Lemma

The images of  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are orthogonal in  $\mathcal{H}(EF)$ . Consequently,

 $\mathcal{H}(EF) = F\mathcal{H}(E) \oplus E^*\mathcal{H}(F).$ 

#### Lemma

The following equation holds for the kernel  $K_{EF}$ :

$$\mathcal{K}_{EF}(w,z) = \overline{F(w)}[\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{K}_{E}(w,\cdot))](z) + E(\overline{w})[\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{K}_{F}(w,\cdot))](z). \tag{4}$$

Recall: if  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sampling sequence in  $\mathcal{H}(E_0)$ , then there exists  $E, F \in \mathcal{HB}$  satisfying conditions 1-3.

# Theorem (al-Sa'di and Weber)

Suppose that  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sampling sequence for  $\mathcal{H}(E_0)$ . Suppose  $E, F \in \mathcal{HB}$  is given by the Necessary Condition Theorem. Then  $\mathcal{H}(E_0)$  can be embedded into  $\mathcal{H}(EF)$  such that the frame  $\{K_{E_0}(\lambda_n, \cdot)\}$  is embedded into the Riesz basis

$$\left\{\frac{\overline{F(\lambda_n)}[\mathcal{I}(K_{E_0}(\lambda_n,\cdot))](z)}{\sqrt{K_{EF}(\lambda_n,\lambda_n)}} \oplus \frac{E(\lambda_n)[\mathcal{J}(K_{F}(\lambda_n,\cdot))](z)}{\sqrt{K_{EF}(\lambda_n,\lambda_n)}}\right\}_n$$

## Theorem (al-Sa'di and Weber)

Suppose that  $E_0, E, F \in \mathcal{HB}$  have no real roots such that  $\mathcal{H}(E_0) \simeq \mathcal{H}(E)$ , and  $\varphi'_F \lesssim \varphi'_E$ . Suppose  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfies the equation  $\varphi_{EF}(\lambda_n) = n\pi + \alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in [0, \pi)$ . Then the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a normalized sampling sequence for  $\mathcal{H}(E_0)$ .

Idea: the kernel functions  $\{K_{EF}(\lambda_n, \cdot)\}$  is a Riesz basis in the big space, so the projection onto  $\mathcal{H}(E_0)$  is a frame, hence corresponds to a sampling sequence (though we need to normalize).

#### Corollary

Assume the conditions of the previous theorem, if  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is the zero set of  $EF + E^*F^*$ , then  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a normalized sampling set for  $\mathcal{H}(E_0)$ .

# Corollary

Assume the conditions of the previous theorem; assume also that  $K_{E_0}(x,x) \simeq 1$ . Then the zero set of  $EF + E^*F^*$  is a (non-normalized) sampling sequence for  $\mathcal{H}(E_0)$ .

#### Corollary

Suppose E, F and  $\{\lambda_n\}$  satisfy the hypotheses of the previous theorem, with  $f \in \mathcal{H}(E)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{H}(F)$ . Given the samples  $\{f(\lambda_n)\}$  and  $\{g(\lambda_n)\}$ , f and g can be reconstructed from the multiplexed samples as follows:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n} (f(\lambda_n)F(\lambda_n) + g(\lambda_n)E^*(\lambda_n)) \frac{\overline{F(\lambda_n)}K_E(\lambda_n, z)}{K_{EF}(\lambda_n, \lambda_n)}$$
(5)  
$$g(z) = \sum_{n} (f(\lambda_n)F(\lambda_n) + g(\lambda_n)E^*(\lambda_n)) \frac{E(\lambda_n)K_F(\lambda_n, z)}{K_{EF}(\lambda_n, \lambda_n)}.$$
(6)

# The End Thank you!