The Full Pythagorean Theorem

Charles Frohman

January 1, 2010

Abstract

This note motivates a version of the generalized pythagorean that says: if A is an $n \times k$ matrix, then

$$det(A^tA) = \sum_I det(A_I)^2$$

where the sum is over all $k \times k$ minors of A. This is followed by a proof via an elementary computation in exterior algebra. The author hopes it is accessible to anybody who has learned calculus with differential forms.

1 Introduction

The pythagorean theorem is one of the first theorems of geometry that people learn. If a right triangle has legs of length a and b and its hypotenuse has length c then

$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2.$$

The Playfair proof of the Pythagorean theorem is easy to explain, but somehow mysterious.





The pythagorean theorem leads to the distance formula in the plane. If $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)$ are two points in the plane the distance between them is $\sqrt{(x_1 - x_2)^2 + (y_1 - y_2)^2}$, which flows from the pythagorean theorem via the diagram below.



If you tell someone that the Pythagorean theorem generalizes to any dimension, often they will think that the generalization is just the distance formula for points in \mathbb{R}^n . However, suppose that T is the tetrahedron with vertices at (0,0,0), (a,0,0), (0,b,0), and (0,0,c).



You can imagine that the tetrahedron has three legs, which are right triangles that lie in the xy-plane, xz-plane, and yz-plane. The hypotenuse is the triangle having vertices (a, 0, 0), (0, b, 0) and (0, 0, c). The sum of the squares of the areas of the three legs is

$$\frac{1}{4}a^2b^2 + \frac{1}{4}a^2c^2 + \frac{1}{4}b^2c^2.$$

The base of the hypotenuse is $\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ and its height is $\sqrt{\frac{a^2b^2}{a^2+b^2} + c^2}$. The area of the hypotenuse is one half of its base times its height,

$$\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{a^2b^2 + a^2c^2 + b^2c^2}$$

Squaring this gives the sum of the squares of the areas of the legs! This computation is sometimes referred to as de Gua's theorem after Jean Paul de Gua de Malves who was an 18th century French mathematician [2].

The statement that this tetrahedron is *right*, boils down to the legs of the tetrahedron being the orthogonal projections of the hypotenuse into the coordinate hyperplanes. The shapes we have been using so far, triangles and tetrahedra, are examples of *simplices*. Simplices are well and good, but for the sake of discussing the pythagorean theorem in the language of linear algebra, parallelepipeds are better.

Suppose that $\vec{v} = (a, b, c)$ and $\vec{w} = (d, e, f)$ are vectors in space. The parallelogram spanned by \vec{v} and \vec{w} is everything of the form $s\vec{v} + t\vec{w}$ where

 $s,t \in [0,1]$. The orthogonal projection of the parallelogram into the xyplane is spanned by (a,b) and (d,e). Using the standard area formula via determinants its area is |ae - bd|. Its orthogonal projection into the yz-plane is spanned by (b,c) and (e,f) and has area |bf - ce|. Finally its projection into the xz-plane is spanned by (a,c) and (d,f) and has area |af - cd|. The pythagorean theorem says that the square of the area of the parallelogram in space is the sum of the squares of the areas of the projections into the coordinate hyperplanes.

$$(ae - bd)^{2} + (bf - ce)^{2} + (af - cd)^{2}$$

but this is just the norm squared of the cross product $(a, b, c) \times (d, e, f)$, which confirms a well known formula for the area of a parallelogram in space.

In general, the parallelepiped spanned by vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$ is everything of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \vec{v}_i$ where the λ_i vary over the unit interval [0, 1]. The pythagorean theorem says that if P is a parallelepiped in \mathbb{R}^n spanned by k-vectors $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_k$ then the square of the k-dimensional content of P, is the sum of the squares of the k-dimensional content of the orthogonal projections of P into the k-dimensional coordinate hyperplanes in \mathbb{R}^n . It is the point of this note to give a statement in linear algebraic terms of this theorem, and prove it.

2 Content

Let V, W be inner product spaces, and $L: V \to W$ a linear map. We can restrict L to get,

$$L : \ker L^{\perp} \to \operatorname{im} L,$$

where by ker L^{\perp} we mean the subspace of V made up of all vectors that are pependicular to the kernel of L and by imL we mean the image of L. As ker L^{\perp} and imL are subspaces of innerproduct spaces they are themselves innerproduct spaces. Hence we can choose orthonormal bases for them and represent L as a matrix l_{ij} with respect to those bases. The matrix l_{ij} is square.

Definition 1. The content of L, denoted c(L) is the absolute value of the determinant of any matrix representation l_{ij} of L with respect to orthonormal bases of the perpendicular to its kernel, and its image.

The content is not as well behaved as the determinant, for instance it doesn't have a sign. Also the content of the composition of two linear maps is not in general the product of their contents. However,

Proposition 1. If $L: V \to W$ and $M: W \to U$ are linear maps of innerproduct spaces and $\operatorname{im} L = \operatorname{ker} M^{\perp}$ then $c(M \circ L) = c(M)c(L)$.

Proof. Since $\operatorname{im} L = \operatorname{ker} M^{\perp}$ one of the orthonormal bases you use to compute c(L) can be chosen to coincide with one of the orthonormal bases used to compute c(M). If l_{ij} and m_{jk} are the matrices that represent L and M with respect to this choice, then the matrix representing $M \circ L$ is the product of l_{ij} and m_{jk} . The determinant of the product is the product of the determinants.

If $L: V \to W$ is a linear map of innerproduct spaces then it has an adjoint $L^*: W \to V$ defined by requiring L^* to solve the equation,

$$< L(\vec{v}), \vec{w} >_W = < \vec{v}, L^*(\vec{w}) >_V$$

for all $\vec{v} \in V$ and $\vec{w} \in W$ where \langle , \rangle_V and \langle , \rangle_W denote the innerproducts in V and W. If we have represented L with respect to orthonormal bases of V and W then the matrix of L^* with respect to those bases is just the transpose of the matrix representing L. From this it is clear that

$$c(L) = c(L^*).$$

Using Proposition 1, and the fact that the image of L^* is the perpendicular to the kernel of L we arrive at $c(L \circ L^*) = c(L)^2$, and using the fact that $L^{**} = L$ we have $c(L^* \circ L) = c(L)^2$.

Proposition 2. For any linear map of innerproduct spaces $L: V \to W$,

$$c(L^* \circ L) = c(L \circ L^*) = c(L)^2.$$

Suppose $f: M \to N$ is an immersion of manifolds and N is Riemannian. Choose local coordinates x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^k at $p \in M$. The matrix

$$g_{ij} = \langle df_p(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}), df_p(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}) \rangle_{T_{f(p)}N}$$

is the first fundamental form of the induced Riemannian metric on M and the associated volume form is

$$\sqrt{det(g)}dx^1\wedge\ldots\wedge dx^k.$$

You can think of $\sqrt{detg}(p)$ as the k-dimensional content of the parallelepiped spanned by the vectors

$$df_p(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}|_p),\ldots,df_p(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}|_p)$$

Choose an orthonormal basis for $T_{f(p)}N$ and let \vec{v}_i be the column vector representing

$$df_p(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}|_p)$$

with respect to this basis. Let A be the $n \times k$ -matrix whose columns are the \vec{v}_i . Notice that $A^t A = g$, so

$$c(A) = \sqrt{\det(g)}.$$

In general, you can think of an $n \times k$ matrix A as a list of k column vectors and c(A) as the k-dimensional content of the parallelepiped spanned by those vectors. Choose $I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ with k-elements. We use |I| to denote the cardinality of I. By A_I I mean the $k \times k$ -matrix made from the rows of A corresponding to the subset I. The parallelepiped spanned by A_I can be thought of as the orthogonal projection of the parallelepiped spanned by A into the k-dimensional coordinate hyperplane determined by I. This brings us to:

Theorem 1 (Pythagorean Theorem). Let A be an $n \times k$ -matrix, then

$$det(A^{t}A) = \sum_{I \subset \{1,\dots,n\}} det(A_{I})^{2}.$$

That is, the square of the content of the parallelepiped spanned by A is equal to the sum of the squares of the orthogonal projections of the parallelepiped into the k-dimensional coordinate hyperplanes.

We will prove this theorem after we develop some vocabulary for manipulating determinants.

3 Exterior Algebra and the Proof

Let V be a vector space over k. The exterior algebra $\Lambda_*(V)$ of V, is the associative algebra over k that is the quotient of the free unital associative

algebra over k on V modulo the relations that $v \wedge v = 0$ for every $v \in V$, where we are denoting the multiplication by a wedge [1]. It is an elementary fact that the relation $v \wedge v = 0$ for all v implies that $v \wedge w = -w \wedge v$ for all v and w.

We will be working with innerproduct spaces. Suppose that $e_i \ i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ is an orthonormal basis of V. If $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k\} \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ with $i_1 < i_2 \ldots < i_k$ let

$$e_I = e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \dots \wedge e_{i_k}.$$

If we let $e_{\emptyset} = 1$, then the e_I where I ranges over all subsets of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$ is a basis for $\Lambda_*(V)$ In fact, $\Lambda_*(V)$ is graded by |I|. We let $\Lambda_i(V)$ be the subspace spanned by all e_I with |I| = i. Notice $\Lambda_i(V)$ has dimension $\binom{n}{i}$. We make $\Lambda_*(V)$ into an innerproduct space, by declaring that the e_I are an orthonormal basis.

If W is any innerproduct space we denote the identity map from W to itself by Id_W . If $L: V \to W$ is a linear map of innerproduct spaces then for all i, it induces $\Lambda_i(L): \Lambda_i(V) \to \Lambda_i(W)$, for all i. It is defined by letting

$$L(\vec{v}_1 \wedge \vec{v}_2 \dots \wedge \vec{v}_i) = L(\vec{v}_1) \wedge L(\vec{v}_2) \wedge \dots \wedge L(\vec{v}_i).$$

The assignment $L \to \Lambda_i(L)$, is functorial as

$$\Lambda_i(Id_V) = Id_{\Lambda_i(V)},$$

and

$$\Lambda_i(L \circ M) = \Lambda_i(L) \circ \Lambda_i(M).$$

where $L: V \to W$ and $M: W \to U$ are any linear mappings between innerproduct spaces.

Proposition 3. If we choose orthonormal bases e_j for V and f_i for W and let l_{ij} be the matrix of f with respect to these bases, if |J| = i then

$$L(e_J) = \sum_{|I|=i} M_{IJ} f_I$$

where M_{IJ} is the determinant of the $i \times i$ submatrix of l_{ij} whose rows and columns come respectively from the sets I and J in order.

Proof. Notice that $L(e_j) = \sum_i l_{ij} f_i$. Expanding,

$$\Lambda(L)_i(e_J) = (L(e_{j_1}) \wedge \ldots \wedge L(e_{j_i})) =$$
$$(\sum_k l_{kj_1} f_k) \wedge (\sum_k l_{kj_2} f_k) \ldots \wedge (\sum_k l_{kj_k} f_k)$$

After a lot of cancellation and reordering we arrive at:

$$= \sum_{I \subset \{1,\dots,n\}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_i} sgn(\sigma) \left(\prod_{m=1}^k l_{i_m,j_{\sigma(m)}}\right) f_I,$$

where S_i denotes the symmetric group on *i* letters, and $sgn(\sigma)$ is the sign of the permutation sigma. Notice that the sum over S_i of the signed products is a classical formula for the determinant, so it reduces to

$$=\sum_{|I|=i}M_{IJ}f_{I}$$

where the M_{IJ} is the determinant of the minor whose entries are indexed by ij with $i \in I$ and $j \in J$..

Now suppose that dim(V) = dim(W) = k. Notice $dim\Lambda_k(V) = dim\Lambda_k(W) = 1$, and

$$\Lambda_k(L)(e_{\{1,...,k\}}) = det(l_{ij})f_{\{1,...,k\}}$$

Proposition 4. If $L: V \to W$ is a linear map of innerproduct spaces then the map $\Lambda_i(L^*)$ is equal to $\Lambda_i(L)^*$.

Proof. Choose orthonormal bases e_j and f_i for V and W respectively. That way, if l_{ij} is the matrix of L with respect to those bases then the matrix of L^* is l_{ji} . Notice e_J and f_I are orthonormal bases of $\Lambda_i(V)$ and $\Lambda_i(W)$ where we let I and J range over subsets with i elements in their respective index sets. Hence if \mathcal{L}_{IJ} is the matrix of $\Lambda_i(L)$ with respect to the bases e_J and f_I then the matrix of $\Lambda_i(L^*)$ is \mathcal{L}_{JI} . Here I am using the fact that the determinant of the transpose of a matrix is equal to the determinant of the matrix in computing the coefficients of the matrix for $\Lambda_i(L^*)$.

We are ready.

Proof. Theorem 1 Let A be an $n \times k$ matrix, which we can view as the matrix of a linear map $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the standard orthonormal bases. The determinant of $A^t A$ is expressed as

$$\Lambda_k(\mathcal{A}^* \circ \mathcal{A})(e_{\{1,\dots,k\}}) = det(\mathcal{A}^t \mathcal{A})e_{\{1,\dots,k\}}.$$

By the functoriality of Λ_k the left hand side is

$$\Lambda_k(\mathcal{A}^*) \circ \Lambda_k(\mathcal{A})(e_{\{1,\dots,k\}}).$$

However, we have

$$\Lambda_k(\mathcal{A})(e_{\{1,...,k\}}) = \sum_{I \subset \{1,...,n\}} det(A_I)e_I,$$

where $det(A_I)$ is the determinant of the $k \times k$ -matrix whose rows correspond to the rows of A whose index is in I. Recall, $\Lambda_k(A^*) = \Lambda_k(A)^*$. Going back to the definition of adjoint we arrive at

$$\Lambda_k(A^*)(e_I) = det(A_I)e_{\{1,\dots,k\}}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \Lambda_k(\mathcal{A}^*) \circ \Lambda_k(\mathcal{A})(e_{\{1,\dots,k\}}) &= \Lambda_k(A^*)(\sum_{I \subset \{1,\dots,n\} \ |I|=k\}} det(A_I)e_I) = \\ &\sum_{I \subset \{1,\dots,n\} \ |I|=k\}} det(A_I)\Lambda_k(A^*)(e_I) = \\ &\left(\sum_{I \subset \{1,\dots,n\} \ |I|=k\}} det(A_I)^2\right)e_{\{1,\dots,k\}}. \end{split}$$

Putting it all together we have,

$$det(A^{t}A) = \sum_{I \subset \{1,...,n\}} det(A_{I})^{2}.$$

| 1 | | ь |
|---|--|---|
| | | L |
| | | |
| | | |
| L | | 1 |

4 Epilogue

This is a theorem that gets rediscovered over and over again. Via a web search I found a note by Alvarez [3] that proves the theorem for right *n*-simplices, but better than that has a nice bibliography with some references to proofs and historical texts. He cites evidence that the theorem first appeared in a book on analytic geometry by Monge and Hatchet written in the 19th century. There is also a paper by Atzema [4] that proves the same theorem I proved here, via a more general result about the determinant of a product of matrices due to Cauchy. I would be surprised if a proof along the lines that I gave here, didn't appear elsewhere.

I think the theorem might be of pedagogical interest as it gives a unified paradigm for integrals to compute arc length and area that could leave the students in a position to set up integrals to compute higher dimensional content.

References

- [1] [Sp] Spivak, Michael Calculus on Manifolds, Perseus Books, Cambridge MA, 1965
- [2] [We] Weisstein, Eric W. de Gua's Theorem., From MathWorld–A Wolfram Web Resource, http://mathworld.wolfram.com/deGuasTheorem.html
- [3] Alvarez, Sergio Note on an n-dimensional Pythagorean theorem http://www.cs.bc.edu/ alvarez/NDPyt.pdf
- [4] Atzema, Eisso, Beyond Monge's Theorem, Mathematics Magazine, Vol. 73, No. 4 (oct., 2000) pp. 293-296