## TRAPEZOIDAL METHOD <br> ERROR FORMULA

Theorem Let $f(x)$ have two continuous derivatives on the interval $a \leq x \leq b$. Then

$$
E_{n}^{T}(f) \equiv \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-T_{n}(f)=-\frac{h^{2}(b-a)}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)
$$

for some $c_{n}$ in the interval $[a, b]$.

Later I will say something about the proof of this result, as it leads to some other useful formulas for the error.

The above formula says that the error decreases in a manner that is roughly proportional to $h^{2}$. Thus doubling $n$ (and halving $h$ ) should cause the error to decrease by a factor of approximately 4 . This is what we observed with a past example from the preceding section.

Example. Consider evaluating

$$
I=\int_{0}^{2} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}
$$

using the trapezoidal method $T_{n}(f)$. How large should $n$ be chosen in order to ensure that

$$
\left|E_{n}^{T}(f)\right| \leq 5 \times 10^{-6}
$$

We begin by calculating the derivatives:

$$
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{-2 x}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad f^{\prime \prime}(x)=\frac{-2+6 x^{2}}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{3}}
$$

From a graph of $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$,

$$
\max _{0 \leq x \leq 2}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|=2
$$

Recall that $b-a=2$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n}^{T}(f) & =-\frac{h^{2}(b-a)}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right) \\
\left|E_{n}^{T}(f)\right| & \leq \frac{h^{2}(2)}{12} \cdot 2=\frac{h^{2}}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n}^{T}(f) & =-\frac{h^{2}(b-a)}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right) \\
\left|E_{n}^{T}(f)\right| & \leq \frac{h^{2} 2}{12} \cdot 2=\frac{h^{2}}{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We bound $\left|f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)\right|$ since we do not know $c_{n}$, and therefore we must assume the worst possible case, that which makes the error formula largest. That is what has been done above.

When do we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{n}^{T}(f)\right| \leq 5 \times 10^{-6} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To ensure this, we choose $h$ so small that

$$
\frac{h^{2}}{3} \leq 5 \times 10^{-6}
$$

This is equivalent to choosing $h$ and $n$ to satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
h & \leq .003873 \\
n=\frac{2}{h} & \geq 516.4
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $n \geq 517$ will imply (1).

## DERIVING THE ERROR FORMULA

There are two stages in deriving the error:
(1) Obtain the error formula for the case of a single subinterval ( $n=1$ );
(2) Use this to obtain the general error formula given earlier.

For the trapezoidal method with only a single subinterval, we have

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+h} f(x) d x-\frac{h}{2}[f(\alpha)+f(\alpha+h)]=-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}(c)
$$

for some $c$ in the interval $[\alpha, \alpha+h]$.

A sketch of the derivation of this error formula is given in the problems.

Recall that the general trapezoidal rule $T_{n}(f)$ was obtained by applying the simple trapezoidal rule to a subdivision of the original interval of integration. Recall defining and writing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h=\frac{b-a}{n}, \quad x_{j}=a+j h, \quad j=0,1, \ldots, n \\
& I=\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{n}} f(x) d x \\
& =\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} f(x) d x+\int_{x_{1}}^{x_{2}} f(x) d x+\cdots \\
& \quad+\int_{x_{n-1}}^{x_{n}} f(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
I \approx \frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+f\left(x_{1}\right)\right]+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\cdots \\
& +\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{n-2}\right)+f\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right]+\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{n-1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the error

$$
E_{n}^{T}(f) \equiv \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-T_{n}(f)
$$

can be analyzed by adding together the errors over the subintervals $\left[x_{0}, x_{1}\right],\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], \ldots,\left[x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right]$. Recall

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\alpha+h} f(x) d x-\frac{h}{2}[f(\alpha)+f(\alpha+h)]=-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}(c)
$$

Then on $\left[x_{j-1}, x_{j}\right]$,

$$
\int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_{j}} f(x) d x-\frac{h}{2}\left[f\left(x_{j-1}\right)+f\left(x_{j}\right)\right]=-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{j}\right)
$$

with $x_{j-1} \leq \gamma_{j} \leq x_{j}$, but otherwise $\gamma_{j}$ unknown.
Then combining these errors, we obtain

$$
E_{n}^{T}(f)=-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\cdots-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{n}\right)
$$

This formula can be further simplified, and we will do so in two ways.

Rewrite this error as

$$
E_{n}^{T}(f)=-\frac{h^{3} n}{12}\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+\cdots+f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{n}\right)}{n}\right]
$$

Denote the quantity inside the brackets by $\zeta_{n}$. This number satisfies

$$
\min _{a \leq x \leq b} f^{\prime \prime}(x) \leq \zeta_{n} \leq \max _{a \leq x \leq b} f^{\prime \prime}(x)
$$

Since $f^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is a continuous function (by original assumption), we have that there must be some number $c_{n}$ in $[a, b]$ for which

$$
f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)=\zeta_{n}
$$

Recall also that $h n=b-a$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n}^{T}(f) & =-\frac{h^{3} n}{12}\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)+\cdots+f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{n}\right)}{n}\right] \\
& =-\frac{h^{2}(b-a)}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the error formula given on the first slide.

## AN ERROR ESTIMATE

We now obtain a way to estimate the error $E_{n}^{T}(f)$. Return to the formula

$$
E_{n}^{T}(f)=-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)-\cdots-\frac{h^{3}}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{n}\right)
$$

and rewrite it as

$$
E_{n}^{T}(f)=-\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) h+\cdots+f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{n}\right) h\right]
$$

The quantity

$$
f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) h+\cdots+f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{n}\right) h
$$

is a Riemann sum for the integral

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f^{\prime \prime}(x) d x=f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)
$$

By this we mean

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) h+\cdots+f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{n}\right) h\right]=\int_{a}^{b} f^{\prime \prime}(x) d x
$$

Thus

$$
f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) h+\cdots+f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{n}\right) h \approx f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)
$$

for larger values of $n$. Combining this with the earlier error formula

$$
E_{n}^{T}(f)=-\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) h+\cdots+f^{\prime \prime}\left(\gamma_{n}\right) h\right]
$$

we have

$$
E_{n}^{T}(f) \approx-\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)\right] \equiv \widetilde{E}_{n}^{T}(f)
$$

This is a computable estimate of the error in the numerical integration. It is called an asymptotic error estimate.

Example. Consider evaluating

$$
I(f)=\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{x} \cos x d x=-\frac{e^{\pi}+1}{2} \doteq-12.070346
$$

In this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}(x) & =e^{x}[\cos x-\sin x] \\
f^{\prime \prime}(x) & =-2 e^{x} \sin x \\
\max _{0 \leq x \leq \pi}\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right| & =\left|f^{\prime \prime}(.75 \pi)\right|=14.921
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n}^{T}(f) & =-\frac{h^{2}(b-a)}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right) \\
\left|E_{n}^{T}(f)\right| & \leq \frac{h^{2} \pi}{12} \cdot 14.921=3.906 h^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{E}_{n}^{T}(f) & =-\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[f^{\prime}(\pi)-f^{\prime}(0)\right] \\
& =\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[e^{\pi}+1\right] \doteq 2.012 h^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

In looking at the table (in a separate file on website) for evaluating the integral $I$ by the trapezoidal rule, we see that the error $E_{n}^{T}(f)$ and the error estimate $\tilde{E}_{n}^{T}(f)$ are quite close. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(f)-T_{n}(f) & \approx \frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[e^{\pi}+1\right] \\
I(f) & \approx T_{n}(f)+\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[e^{\pi}+1\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This last formula is called the corrected trapezoidal rule, and it is illustrated in the second table (on the separate page). We see it gives a much smaller error for essentially the same amount of work; and it converges much more rapidly.

In general,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(f)-T_{n}(f) & \approx-\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)\right] \\
I(f) & \approx T_{n}(f)-\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the corrected trapezoidal rule. It is easy to obtain from the trapezoidal rule, and in most cases, it converges more rapidly than the trapezoidal rule.

## SIMPSON'S RULE ERROR FORMULA

Recall the general Simpson's rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx S_{n}(f) \equiv \frac{h}{3}\left[f\left(x_{0}\right)+4 f\left(x_{1}\right)+2 f\left(x_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \quad+4 f\left(x_{3}\right)+2 f\left(x_{4}\right)+\cdots \\
& \left.\quad+2 f\left(x_{n-2}\right)+4 f\left(x_{n-1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

For its error, we have

$$
E_{n}^{S}(f) \equiv \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-S_{n}(f)=-\frac{h^{4}(b-a)}{180} f^{(4)}\left(c_{n}\right)
$$

for some $a \leq c_{n} \leq b$, with $c_{n}$ otherwise unknown. For an asymptotic error estimate,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-S_{n}(f) \approx \widetilde{E}_{n}^{S}(f) \equiv-\frac{h^{4}}{180}\left[f^{\prime \prime \prime}(b)-f^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)\right]
$$

## DISCUSSION

For Simpson's error formula, both formulas assume that the integrand $f(x)$ has four continuous derivatives on the interval $[a, b]$. What happens when this is not valid? We return later to this question.

Both formulas also say the error should decrease by a factor of around 16 when $n$ is doubled.

Compare these results with those for the trapezoidal rule error formulas:.

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{n}^{T}(f) \equiv \int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-T_{n}(f)=-\frac{h^{2}(b-a)}{12} f^{\prime \prime}\left(c_{n}\right) \\
E_{n}^{T}(f) \approx-\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)\right] \equiv \widetilde{E}_{n}^{T}(f)
\end{gathered}
$$

## EXAMPLE

Consider evaluating

$$
I=\int_{0}^{2} \frac{d x}{1+x^{2}}
$$

using Simpson's rule $S_{n}(f)$. How large should $n$ be chosen in order to ensure that

$$
\left|E_{n}^{S}(f)\right| \leq 5 \times 10^{-6}
$$

Begin by noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{(4)}(x) & =24 \frac{5 x^{4}-10 x^{2}+1}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{5}} \\
\max _{0 \leq x \leq 1}\left|f^{(4)}(x)\right| & =f^{(4)}(0)=24
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n}^{S}(f) & =-\frac{h^{4}(b-a)}{180} f^{(4)}\left(c_{n}\right) \\
\left|E_{n}^{S}(f)\right| & \leq \frac{h^{4} \cdot 2}{180} \cdot 24=\frac{4 h^{4}}{15}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\left|E_{n}^{S}(f)\right| \leq 5 \times 10^{-6}$ is true if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{4 h^{4}}{15} & \leq 5 \times 10^{-6} \\
h & \leq .0658 \\
n & \geq 30.39
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, choosing $n \geq 32$ will give the desired error bound. Compare this with the earlier trapezoidal example in which $n \geq 517$ was needed.

For the asymptotic error estimate, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
f^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)=-24 x \frac{x^{2}-1}{\left(1+x^{2}\right)^{4}} \\
\widetilde{E}_{n}^{S}(f) \\
\equiv-\frac{h^{4}}{180}\left[f^{\prime \prime \prime}(2)-f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)\right] \\
\\
=\frac{h^{4}}{180} \cdot \frac{144}{625}=\frac{4}{3125} h^{4}
\end{gathered}
$$

## INTEGRATING $\operatorname{sqrt}(x)$

Consider the numerical approximation of

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \operatorname{sqrt}(x) d x=\frac{2}{3}
$$

In the following table, we give the errors when using both the trapezoidal and Simpson rules.

| $n$ | $E_{n}^{T}$ | Ratio | $E_{n}^{S}$ | Ratio |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $6.311 E-2$ |  | $2.860 E-2$ |  |
| 4 | $2.338 E-2$ | 2.70 | $1.012 E-2$ | 2.82 |
| 8 | $8.536 E-3$ | 2.74 | $3.587 E-3$ | 2.83 |
| 16 | $3.085 E-3$ | 2.77 | $1.268 E-3$ | 2.83 |
| 32 | $1.108 E-3$ | 2.78 | $4.485 E-4$ | 2.83 |
| 64 | $3.959 E-4$ | 2.80 | $1.586 E-4$ | 2.83 |
| 128 | $1.410 E-4$ | 2.81 | $5.606 E-5$ | 2.83 |

The rate of convergence is slower because the function $f(x)=\operatorname{sqrt}(x)$ is not sufficiently differentiable on $[0,1]$. Both methods converge with a rate proportional to $h^{1.5}$.

## ASYMPTOTIC ERROR FORMULAS

If we have a numerical integration formula,

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x \approx \sum_{j=0}^{n} w_{j} f\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

let $E_{n}(f)$ denote its error,

$$
E_{n}(f)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-\sum_{j=0}^{n} w_{j} f\left(x_{j}\right)
$$

We say another formula $\widetilde{E}_{n}(f)$ is an asymptotic error formula this numerical integration if it satisfies

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\widetilde{E}_{n}(f)}{E_{n}(f)}=1
$$

Equivalently,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{E_{n}(f)-\widetilde{E}_{n}(f)}{E_{n}(f)}=0
$$

These conditions say that $\widetilde{E}_{n}(f)$ looks increasingly like $E_{n}(f)$ as $n$ increases, and thus

$$
E_{n}(f) \approx \widetilde{E}_{n}(f)
$$

Example. For the trapezoidal rule,

$$
E_{n}^{T}(f) \approx \widetilde{E}_{n}^{T}(f) \equiv-\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)\right]
$$

This assumes $f(x)$ has two continuous derivatives on the interval $[a, b]$.

Example. For Simpson's rule,

$$
E_{n}^{S}(f) \approx \widetilde{E}_{n}^{S}(f) \equiv-\frac{h^{4}}{180}\left[f^{\prime \prime \prime}(b)-f^{\prime \prime \prime}(a)\right]
$$

This assumes $f(x)$ has four continuous derivatives on the interval $[a, b]$.

Note that both of these formulas can be written in an equivalent form as

$$
\widetilde{E}_{n}(f)=\frac{c}{n^{p}}
$$

for appropriate constant $c$ and exponent $p$. With the trapezoidal rule, $p=2$ and

$$
c=-\frac{(b-a)^{2}}{12}\left[f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)\right]
$$

and for Simpson's rule, $p=4$ with a suitable $c$.

The formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{E}_{n}(f)=\frac{c}{n^{p}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

occurs for many other numerical integration formulas that we have not yet defined or studied. In addition, if we use the trapezoidal or Simpson rules with an integrand $f(x)$ which is not sufficiently differentiable, then (2) may hold with an exponent $p$ that is less than the ideal.
Example. Consider

$$
I=\int_{0}^{1} x^{\beta} d x
$$

in which $-1<\beta<1, \beta \neq 0$. Then the convergence of the trapezoidal rule can be shown to have an asymptotic error formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n} \approx \widetilde{E}_{n}=\frac{c}{n^{\beta+1}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $c$ dependent on $\beta$. A similar result holds for Simpson's rule, with $-1<\beta<3$, $\beta$ not an integer. We can actually specify a formula for $c$; but the formula is often less important than knowing that (2) is valid for some $c$.

## APPLICATION OF ASYMPTOTIC ERROR FORMULAS

Assume we know that an asymptotic error formula

$$
I-I_{n} \approx \frac{c}{n^{p}}
$$

is valid for some numerical integration rule denoted by $I_{n}$. Initially, assume we know the exponent $p$. Then imagine calculating both $I_{n}$ and $I_{2 n}$. With $I_{2 n}$, we have

$$
I-I_{2 n} \approx \frac{c}{2^{p} n^{p}}
$$

This leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
I-I_{n} & \approx 2^{p}\left[I-I_{2 n}\right] \\
I & \approx \frac{2^{p} I_{2 n}-I_{n}}{2^{p}-1}=I_{2 n}+\frac{I_{2 n}-I_{n}}{2^{p}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \approx I_{2 n}+\frac{I_{2 n}-I_{n}}{2^{p}-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called Richardson's extrapolation formula.

Example. With the trapezoidal rule and with the integrand $f(x)$ having two continuous derivatives,

$$
I \approx T_{2 n}+\frac{1}{3}\left[T_{2 n}-T_{n}\right]
$$

Example. With Simpson's rule and with the integrand $f(x)$ having four continuous derivatives,

$$
I \approx S_{2 n}+\frac{1}{15}\left[S_{2 n}-S_{n}\right]
$$

We can also use the formula (2) to obtain error estimation formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I-I_{2 n} \approx \frac{I_{2 n}-I_{n}}{2^{p}-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is called Richardson's error estimate. For example, with the trapezoidal rule,

$$
I-T_{2 n} \approx \frac{1}{3}\left[T_{2 n}-T_{n}\right]
$$

These formulas are illustrated for the trapezoidal rule in an accompanying table, for

$$
\int_{0}^{\pi} e^{x} \cos x d x=-\frac{e^{\pi}+1}{2} \doteq-12.07034632
$$

## AITKEN EXTRAPOLATION

In this case, we again assume

$$
I-I_{n} \approx \frac{c}{n^{p}}
$$

But in contrast to previously, we do not know either $c$ or $p$. Imagine computing $I_{n}, I_{2 n}$, and $I_{4 n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
I-I_{n} & \approx \frac{c}{n^{p}} \\
I-I_{2 n} & \approx \frac{c}{2^{p} n^{p}} \\
I-I_{4 n} & \approx \frac{c}{4 n^{p} p}
\end{aligned}
$$

We can directly try to estimate $I$. Dividing

$$
\frac{I-I_{n}}{I-I_{2 n}} \approx 2^{p} \approx \frac{I-I_{2 n}}{I-I_{4 n}}
$$

Solving for $I$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(I-I_{2 n}\right)^{2} & \approx\left(I-I_{n}\right)\left(I-I_{4 n}\right) \\
I\left(I_{n}+I_{4 n}-2 I_{2 n}\right) & \approx I_{n} I_{4 n}-I_{2 n}^{2} \\
I & \approx \frac{I_{n} I_{4 n}-I_{2 n}^{2}}{I_{n}+I_{4 n}-2 I_{2 n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

This can be improved computationally, to avoid loss of significance errors.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & \approx I_{4 n}+\left[\frac{I_{n} I_{4 n}-I_{2 n}^{2}}{I_{n}+I_{4 n}-2 I_{2 n}}-I_{4 n}\right] \\
& =I_{4 n}-\frac{\left(I_{4 n}-I_{2 n}\right)^{2}}{\left(I_{4 n}-I_{2 n}\right)-\left(I_{2 n}-I_{n}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This is called Aitken's extrapolation formula.

To estimate $p$, we use

$$
\frac{I_{2 n}-I_{n}}{I_{4 n}-I_{2 n}} \approx 2^{p}
$$

To see this, write

$$
\frac{I_{2 n}-I_{n}}{I_{4 n}-I_{2 n}}=\frac{\left(I-I_{n}\right)-\left(I-I_{2 n}\right)}{\left(I-I_{2 n}\right)-\left(I-I_{4 n}\right)}
$$

Then substitute from the following and simplify:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I-I_{n} & \approx \frac{c}{n^{p}} \\
I-I_{2 n} & \approx \frac{c}{2^{p} n^{p}} \\
I-I_{4 n} & \approx \frac{c}{4^{p} n^{p}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example. Consider the following table of numerical integrals. What is its order of convergence?

| $n$ | $I_{n}$ | $I_{n}-I_{\frac{1}{2} n}$ | Ratio |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | .28451779686 |  |  |
| 4 | .28559254576 | $1.075 E-3$ |  |
| 8 | .28570248748 | $1.099 E-4$ | 9.78 |
| 16 | .28571317731 | $1.069 E-5$ | 10.28 |
| 32 | .28571418363 | $1.006 E-6$ | 10.62 |
| 64 | .28571427643 | $9.280 E-8$ | 10.84 |

It appears

$$
2^{p} \doteq 10.84, \quad p \doteq \log _{2} 10.84=3.44
$$

We could now combine this with Richardson's error formula to estimate the error:

$$
I-I_{n} \approx \frac{1}{2^{p}-1}\left[I_{n}-I_{\frac{1}{2} n}\right]
$$

For example,

$$
I-I_{64} \approx \frac{1}{9.84}[9.280 E-8]=9.43 E-9
$$

## PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

A function $f(x)$ is periodic if the following condition is satisfied. There is a smallest real number $\tau>0$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+\tau)=f(x), \quad-\infty<x<\infty \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number $\tau$ is called the period of the function $f(x)$. The constant function $f(x) \equiv 1$ is also considered periodic, but it satisfies this condition with any $\tau>0$. Basically, a periodic function is one which repeats itself over intervals of length $\tau$.

The condition (6) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(m)}(x+\tau)=f^{(m)}(x), \quad-\infty<x<\infty \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $m^{\text {th }}$-derivative of $f(x)$, provided there is such a derivative. Thus the derivatives are also periodic.

Periodic functions occur very frequently in applications of mathematics, reflecting the periodicity of many phenomena in the physical world.

## PERIODIC INTEGRANDS

Consider the special class of integrals

$$
I(f)=\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x
$$

in which $f(x)$ is periodic, with $b-a$ an integer multiple of the period $\tau$ for $f(x)$. In this case, the performance of the trapezoidal rule and other numerical integration rules is much better than that predicted by earlier error formulas.

To hint at this improved performance, recall

$$
\int_{a}^{b} f(x) d x-T_{n}(f) \approx \widetilde{E}_{n}(f) \equiv-\frac{h^{2}}{12}\left[f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)\right]
$$

With our assumption on the periodicity of $f(x)$, we have

$$
f(a)=f(b), \quad f^{\prime}(a)=f^{\prime}(b)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\widetilde{E}_{n}(f)=0
$$

and we should expect improved performance in the convergence behaviour of the trapezoidal sums $T_{n}(f)$.

If in addition to being periodic on $[a, b]$, the integrand $f(x)$ also has $m$ continous derivatives, then it can be shown that

$$
I(f)-T_{n}(f)=\frac{c}{n^{m}}+\text { smaller terms }
$$

By "smaller terms", we mean terms which decrease to zero more rapidly than $n^{-m}$.

Thus if $f(x)$ is periodic with $b-a$ an integer multiple of the period $\tau$ for $f(x)$, and if $f(x)$ is infinitely differentiable, then the error $I-T_{n}$ decreases to zero more rapidly than $n^{-m}$ for any $m>0$. For periodic integrands, the trapezoidal rule is an optimal numerical integration method.

Example. Consider evaluating

$$
I=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\sin x d x}{1+e^{\sin x}}
$$

Using the trapezoidal rule, we have the results in the following table. In this case, the formulas based on Richardson extrapolation are no longer valid.

| $n$ | $T_{n}$ | $T_{n}-T_{\frac{1}{2} n}$ |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 2 | 0.0 |  |
| 4 | -0.72589193317292 | $-7.259 E-1$ |
| 8 | -0.7400613121583 | $-1.417 E-2$ |
| 16 | -0.74006942337672 | $-8.111 E-6$ |
| 32 | -0.74006942337946 | $-2.746 E-12$ |
| 64 | -0.74006942337946 | 0.0 |

